UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,537	03/10/2004	Chin-Yao Chang	YTNP0001USA	2536
	27765 7590 05/30/2008 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 506			MARANDI, JAMES R	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
N. 42 CAL 1	10/708,537	CHANG, CHIN-YAO
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES R. MARANDI	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.		
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	iired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clain		e the period for seeking court review
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:		
Sent courtsey Email on 5/21/08. No reply was received	ved.	
/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623		
Patitions to ravive under 37 CER 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CER 1.181, should be promptly filed to